

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademurk Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/557,525	11/18/2005	Djurre Hans Zijsling	TS6443 US	8725
23632 SHELL OIL C	7590 08/31/2007 OMPANY		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2463			COLLINS, GIOVANNA M	
HOUSTON, T	X 772522463		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	:		08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/557,525	ZIJSLING, DJURRE HANS
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Giovanna M. Collins	3672
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variet to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	<u>ıne 2007</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
`3) <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers	·.	
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ object drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r	plication No
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.
Attachmen	ıt(s)		
1)	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	m	Mail Date ormal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

The drawings filed 6/8/07 are accepted by the examiner.

The amended Abstract filed 6/8/07 is accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4,6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British Patent 2320270 Armell et al. in view of Strang 2238377.

Referring to claims 1-4 and 9, Armell discloses (fig. 1) a drill bit for drilling a borehole in an borehole in an earthen formation, the drill bit having a central longitudinal axis and comprising a bit body (at 10) provided with a central shank (12) for connecting the drill bit to a drilling system, the drill bit further comprising at least one cutting arm (14,15), each cutting arm being provided with a set of cutters (34,36,37) for cutting the object and being coupled to the bit body via pivot means (at 28) allowing the cutting arm to pivot between a radially retracted position (see fig. 7a) and a radially expanded position (see fig. 7c). Armell does not disclose support means to support the drill bit in the expanded position. Strang teaches a the drill bit being provided with support means (at 9 and 10) for supporting a cutting arm in the radially expanded position thereof and the support means is arranged to transmit at a majority of the rotational torque and axial loads generated during drilling from a cutting arm to the bit

Art Unit: 3672

body and support the radially compressive loads. Armell teaches the support means helps to relieve pivot pin of excessive loads (col. 1, lines 46-49). As it would be advantageous to relieve the pivot pin of excessive loads, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the drill bit disclosed by Armell to have a support means arranged to transmit axial and rotation torque from the cutting arms to the bit body in view of the teachings of Strang.

Referring to claims 6-8, Armell discloses a pilot section (38) provided with pilot cutters arranged for pre-cutting a pilot borehole ahead of the cutting arm and the pilot section is movable with respect to the shank and is and coupled to the cutting arm and to a hydraulic system (see fig. 1, and fig 4, pilot 38 is connected to piston 16 which moves axially in the shank 12 in response to fluid pressure (hydraulic system) in the shank and pivot (28) connects pilot to cutting arms (14,15).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Giovanna M. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-7027. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,525

Art Unit: 3672

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Giovanna M. Collins Patent Examiner Technology Center 3670